

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiesa: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,798	11/14/2006	Jiming Li	0815-053670	7408
28289 7590 07/29/2008 THE WEBB LAW FIRM, P.C.			EXAMINER	
700 KOPPERS BUILDING 436 SEVENTH AVENUE PITTSBURGH, PA 15219			PATEL, VISHAL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3676	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/560,798 LI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Vishal Patel 3676 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/0E)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3676

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miller (US. 4,420,161).

Miller discloses an apparatus for restricting axial leakage flow through the clearance between a rotating shaft and a seal stator and providing necessary damping to improve rotor stability comprising, the shaft having a stepped surface (e.g. stepped surface formed by 41 and surface adjacent the shaft), the surface having a plurality of sections of a first diameter (diameters 41, 30, 32, 50 and 52) and a plurality of sections of a second lesser diameter being interleaved and adjacent (e.g. figure 2 shows this), the seal stator (e.g. 20) having a plurality of damper sections (e.g. 37 on 16 and 40 and etc) and a plurality of labyrinth sections (e.g. 24-26 and 43-46), the sections of damping and labyrinth being interleaved and adjacent; the damper sections of the seal stator adjacent the shaft section of first diameter to provide damping; the labyrinth sections of the seal stator adjacent the shaft of second diameter to form a tortuous flow path for reducing seal leakage (figures 1-2). The damper sections are slotted pocket segments (figure 3). The damper sections have hole pattern segments (axial holes between two elements 39).

Application/Control Number: 10/560,798 Page 3

Art Unit: 3676

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller in view of Von Pragenau (US. 4.545.586).

Miller discloses the invention substantially as claimed above but fails to disclose that the damper sections are honeycomb segments or hole pattern segments. Von Pragenau teaches to have a stationary member with damper section to have hole pattern segments or honeycomb segments (figure 1-2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention to have the damper sections of Miller to be replaced by damper section of Von Pragenau, to reduce flow which provides better damping effect (column 2, lines 40-68 of Von Pragenau).

#### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed 4/14/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' argument that Miller fails to disclose a damping sections is not persuasive because as explained above the Miller reference does disclose the damping section as much as claimed by applicant. As stated in the rejection above the members 37 or 40 or etc are all considered to be damping sections.

Art Unit: 3676

Applicants' argument that the Von Pragenau teaches to replace labyrinth seal is correct but the reference of Von Pragenau is used to teach that the damping sections in Miller can have a form as taught by Von Pragenau and not to replace the labyrinth seal. So the reference of Von Pragenau is used to teach that the damper sections can be formed into honeycomb segments or hole pattern segments instead of the form of Miller damper sections 37 or 40 or etc.

#### Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishal Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-7060. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30am to 8:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer H. Gay can be reached on 571-272-7029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,798 Page 5

Art Unit: 3676

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/V. P./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3676

> /Vishal Patel/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3676